



Content Scoring Guide

Judge's Name:	Contestant's Code:
---------------	--------------------

Presentation Score (45):

INNOVATION							
Minimal		Adequate			Impressive		Value
0	1	2	3	4	5		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies a minor local issue with existing techniques in a common application. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies an important local environmental issue. Uses existing techniques in a new application 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies a substantial environmental issue: local, national or international. Uses a new approach or an existing technique in a highly creative manner. 		
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT							
0	2	4	6	8	10	Value	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution has little or no environmental impact and cannot be repeated in other places of the watershed. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution has moderate, positive environmental impact and is difficult to repeat in other places of the watershed. 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution could result in a major environmental improvement and can easily be repeated in other places in the watershed. 		
COMPREHENSIVE SCOPE AND COMMUNICATION							
0	2	4	6	8	10	Value	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Common knowledge has been applied. The proposal presents a basic understanding of the problem and its solution. No clear introduction or conclusion No references provided; may have copied a single source Little research 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project has an adequate degree of vision and complexity. Has an introduction, body, and conclusion but flow between ideas is weak Several sources provided. Some research 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project thoroughly reflects a <u>deep understanding</u> of the issue, its solution, and complexity. Introduction, body and conclusion are captivating, flow smoothly and are well-balanced Many sources cited throughout proposal Extensive <u>research</u> 		
BUDGET							
0	1	2	3	4	5	Value	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No costs of project have been mentioned or values mentioned appear unrealistic. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Costs of the project have been analyzed. Values appear accurate and this project can be implemented. 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A detailed budget has been included. Values appear accurate and all encompassing. This project can easily be implemented 		
REALISTIC SOLUTION							
0	2	4	6	8	10	Value	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project may not adhere to existing laws. This project is unlikely to be implemented. No extra steps have been taken to show how it could be implemented. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project complies with existing laws. This project is likely to happen at the local level. No extra steps have been taken to show how it could be implemented. 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project complies with existing laws and avoids undesirable side effects. This solution needs to happen within the watershed; it is <u>highly practical</u> and may benefit other watersheds. This proposal has <u>taken steps</u> to show how this idea could be implemented. 		
VISUALS							
0	1	2	3	4	5	Value	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visuals are incorrect, unattractive or ambiguous. Visuals do not add new information. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some visuals are used, but are not clearly explained. Visuals support the project. 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visuals are unique, appealing, descriptive, and accurate. Visuals significantly enhance the idea, such as PowerPoint, videos, displays, poems or charts. 		

Judges please provide feedback below. Your comments may be sent to the contestant.

Contestant's Code:

One Thing You Did Well:

One Improvement: