



Content Scoring Guide

| | |
|---------------|--------------------|
| Judge's Name: | Contestant's Code: |
|---------------|--------------------|

Presentation Score (45):

| INNOVATION | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|----|-------|
| Minimal | | Adequate | | Impressive | | Value |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies a minor local issue with existing techniques in a common application. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies an important local environmental issue. Uses existing techniques in a new application | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies a substantial environmental issue: local, national or international. Uses a new approach or an existing technique in a highly creative manner. | | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Value |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution has little or no environmental impact and cannot be repeated in other places of the watershed. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution has moderate, positive environmental impact and is difficult to repeat in other places of the watershed. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solution could result in a major environmental improvement and can easily be repeated in other places in the watershed. | | |
| COMPREHENSIVE SCOPE AND COMMUNICATION | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Value |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Common knowledge has been applied. The proposal presents a basic understanding of the problem and its solution. No clear introduction or conclusion No references provided; may have copied a single source Little research | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project has an adequate degree of vision and complexity. Has an introduction, body, and conclusion but flow between ideas is weak Several sources provided. Some research | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project thoroughly reflects a <u>deep understanding</u> of the issue, its solution, and complexity. Introduction, body and conclusion are captivating, flow smoothly and are well-balanced Many sources cited throughout proposal Extensive <u>research</u> | | |
| BUDGET | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No costs of project have been mentioned or values mentioned appear unrealistic. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Costs of the project have been analyzed. Values appear accurate and this project can be implemented. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A detailed budget has been included. Values appear accurate and all encompassing. This project can easily be implemented | | |
| REALISTIC SOLUTION | | | | | | |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Value |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project may not adhere to existing laws. This project is unlikely to be implemented. No extra steps have been taken to show how it could be implemented. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project complies with existing laws. This project is likely to happen at the local level. No extra steps have been taken to show how it could be implemented. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project complies with existing laws and avoids undesirable side effects. This solution needs to happen within the watershed; it is <u>highly practical</u> and may benefit other watersheds. This proposal has <u>taken steps</u> to show how this idea could be implemented. | | |
| VISUALS | | | | | | |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Value |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visuals are incorrect, unattractive or ambiguous. Visuals do not add new information. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some visuals are used, but are not clearly explained. Visuals support the project. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visuals are unique, appealing, descriptive, and accurate. Visuals significantly enhance the idea, such as PowerPoint, videos, displays, poems or charts. | | |

Judges please provide feedback below. Your comments may be sent to the contestant.

Contestant's Code:

One Thing You Did Well:

One Improvement: